



# Improving the Efficacy of Wastewater-Polishing Reed Beds



# DEC11U06

# IMPROVING THE EFFICACY OF WASTEWATER-POLISHING REED BEDS

by: Thaddeus Graczyk, Ph.D. Cynthia Chioma McOliver, Ph.D.

Johns Hopkins University

2010



The Water Environment Research Foundation, a not-for-profit organization, funds and manages water quality research for its subscribers through a diverse public-private partnership between municipal utilities, corporations, academia, industry, and the federal government. WERF subscribers include municipal and regional water and wastewater utilities, industrial corporations, environmental engineering firms, and others that share a commitment to cost-effective water quality solutions. WERF is dedicated to advancing science and technology addressing water quality issues as they impact water resources, the atmosphere, the lands, and quality of life.

For more information, contact: Water Environment Research Foundation 635 Slaters Lane, Suite G-110 Alexandria, VA 22314-1177 Tel: (571) 384-2100 Fax: (703) 299-0742 www.werf.org werf@werf.org

This report was co-published by the following organization.

IWA Publishing Alliance House, 12 Caxton Street London SW1H 0QS, United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0) 20 7654 5500 Fax: +44 (0) 20 7654 5555 www.iwapublishing.com publications@iwap.co.uk

© Copyright 2010 by the Water Environment Research Foundation. All rights reserved. Permission to copy must be obtained from the Water Environment Research Foundation. Printed in the United States of America IWAP ISBN: 978-1-84339-531-7/1-84339-531-2

This report was prepared by the organization(s) named below as an account of work sponsored by the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF). Neither WERF, members of WERF, the organization(s) named below, nor any person acting on their behalf: (a) makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe on privately owned rights; or (b) assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

# Johns Hopkins University

The research on which this report is based was developed, in part, by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Cooperative Agreement No. X-830851-01 with the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF). However, the views expressed in this document are not necessarily those of the EPA and EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication. This report is a publication of WERF, not EPA. Funds awarded under the Cooperative Agreement cited above were not used for editorial services, reproduction, printing, or distribution.

This document was reviewed by a panel of independent experts selected by WERF. Mention of trade names or commercial products or services does not constitute endorsement or recommendations for use. Similarly, omission of products or trade names indicates nothing concerning WERF's or EPA's positions regarding product effectiveness or applicability.

# 1.0 Background

# **Microbiological Contaminants in Treated Wastewater**

Demand for high quality drinking and recreational waters rises exponentially due to global demographic growth in the human population, reinforcing an urgent need for microbiologically safe reclaimed waters (Graczyk and Lucy, 2007). Wastewater discharges are worldwide risk factors for the introduction of human pathogens into surface waters used as drinking and recreational resources. Cryptosporidium parvum, Giardia duodenalis, and humanvirulent microsporidia, (i.e., Encephalitozoon intestinalis, E. hellem, E. cuniculi, and Enterocytozoon bieneusi) are waterborne enteropathogens inflicting considerable morbidity in healthy people and mortality (e.g., Cryptosporidium and microspora) in immunodeficient individuals (Savioli, et al., 2006; Weber and Bryan, 1994). Their transmissive stages, i.e., oocysts, cysts, and spores, respectively, are resistant to environmental stressors and are therefore long-lasting and relatively ubiquitous in the environment (Graczyk, et al., 1997; Matchis, et al., 2005; Wolfe, 1992). These pathogens are category B biodefense agents on the NIH list. Microsporidian spores are on Contaminant Candidate List of the U.S. EPA (Nwachcuku and Gerba, 2004) because spore identification, removal, and inactivation in drinking water are technologically challenging. Surface water is not routinely monitored for these pathogens, despite evidence demonstrating environmental contamination derived from wastewater discharges (Graczyk and Lucy, 2007). Environmentally, all these pathogens have a broad zoonotic reservoir (Graczyk et al., 1997; Matchis et al., 2005; Savioli, et al., 2006).

# **Constructed Wetland Concept**

Constructed wetlands of either vertical or horizontal flow are increasingly used worldwide for secondary or tertiary treatment of municipal wastewater due to minimum electric requirements and low maintenance costs (Davidson et al., 2005; Reinoso et al., 2008). The wetland concept has become an attractive wastewater treatment alternative to conventional tertiary treatment processes for: a) municipal wastewater; b) on-site domestic wastewater treatment; and c) concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) (Karpiscak et al., 2001). In wetlands, human-pathogenic microorganisms are physically removed and biodegraded by sedimentation (Dai and Boll, 2006; Karim et al., 2004), filtration and evapotranspiration-driven attachment to plant roots (Gerba et al., 1999; Dorsch and Veal, 2001; Weaver et al., 2003), natural die-off (Nokes et al., 2003), UV radiation, straining and sorption by the biofilm (Quinonez-Diaz et al., 2001), and protozoan predation (Stott et al., 2001). It is thought that performance of wetlands in removing human pathogens is superior to that of secondary wastewater treatment, i.e., conventional sewage sludge activation (Ulrich et al., 2005). Horizontal wetlands usually discharge to surface waters that are frequently used for recreation or drinking water production (Davidson et al., 2005).

# **Constructed Wetland Operation**

In general, wastewater can be injected under the wetland surface for plug flow hydraulics (Weaver et al., 2003), or be delivered to the wetland surface for free-surface flow. Because the wastewater resides in wetlands for certain time, these areas can act as endemic sites supporting both propagation and transmission of human zoonotic pathogens (Graczyk et al., 2007). Sizing

reed-bed systems for a residence time of 5 days has become a standard practice (Davidson et al., 2005; Quinonez-Diaz et al., 2001; Thurston et al., 2001), leaving plenty of time for propagation and spreading of wastewater-derived pathogens in wetland habitats via a wide variety of wildlife (Graczyk and Lucy, 2007; Graczyk et al., 2007). In addition, any temporal or permanent malfunctioning caused by clogged inlet pipes can cause hydraulic short circuits that bypass part of the filtration area in wetlands.

# Aims of the Project

The purposes of the project were to: a) determine species of microbiological contaminants entering, residing, and leaving constructed horizontal wetlands used for tertiary treatment of municipal wastewater; and b) determine removal efficacy of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, *G. duodenalis* cysts, and human-virulent microsporidian spore species by wetlands from secondary-treated wastewater.

# 2.0 Methodology

# **Selection of Wetlands**

Samples originated from four constructed horizontal wetlands, i.e., Wetland A (53°40'41"N, 08°34'24"W), Wetland B (53°03'12"N, 08°08'57"W), Wetland C (54°04'07"N, 08°12'12"W), and Wetland D (53°41'11"N, 08°45'17"W). All wetlands received unchlorinated secondary treated municipal wastewater after sewage sludge activation and secondary sedimentation. All wetlands were small scale wetlands discharging to surface waters. All wetlands were multispecies systems with both emergent and submerged plants; overwhelmed by the Common reed, *P. australis*. The inflow, outflow and vegetation densities were similar at all wetlands, and the influent and effluent flow rates were relatively constant.

# **Sample Collection**

Two grab samples (2 L) of both wetland influents and effluents were collected in addition to two samples from the wetland longitudinal transect in regular intervals. Samples were collected from August through December 2009 (Table, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). Samples were transported to the laboratory in a cooler and processed by gravity sedimentation (Graczyk et al., 2007). Briefly, samples were vortexed, transferred to 1-L-capacity Imhoff settlement cones and left overnight at 4°C. Fifty ml of the top sediment layer were transferred to a plastic 50-ml tube and centrifuged (3,000g, 10 min). The supernatant was discarded and the pellet transferred to a 1.5-ml tube and preserved with 75 % ethanol. The recovery efficacy of human waterborne pathogens from wastewater matrices was determined previously to be approximately 77% (Graczyk et al., 2007).

# **Sample Processing**

The ethanol was washed using phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4), centrifugation (5,000g, 10 min) and the pellet purified by sugar floatation; 2.5M sucrose solution with a specific gravity of 1.34 was used (Kahle and Thurston-Enriquez, 2007). The resulting pellet was divided evenly into two aliquots. The first was processed for *C. parvum* and *G. duodenalis* by multiplexed fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) in combination with IFA, and the second

for human-virulent microsporidia (i.e., *E. intestinalis*, *E. hellem*, *E. cuniculi*, and *E. bieneusi*) by multiplexed FISH (Graczyk, 2007).

# 3.0 Results

Wetland	Sample ID	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> parvum oocysts/L	Giardia duodenalis cysts/L	Microsporidian ( <i>Encephalitozoon bieneusi</i> ) spores/L
A	Influent	12	2	2
A	Wetland	12	2	Z
		3	2	4
	transect 1	3	2	4
	Wetland		1	
	transect 2	0	1	2
	Final effluent	5	0	0
В	Influent	0	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	4	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	2	0
	Final effluent	9	1	2
С	Influent	4	0	1
	Wetland			
	transect 1	2	3	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	0	1	0
	Final effluent	8	0	0
D	Influent	1	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	0	0	0
	Final effluent	2	3	3

Table 1. Results for Samples Collected in August 2009 and Processed by the Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Assay.

Wetland	Sample ID	Cryptosporidium parvum oocysts/L	Giardia duodenalis cysts/L	Microsporidian ( <i>Encephalitozoon bieneusi</i> ) spores/L
A	Influent	1	2	2
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	2	0	2
	Final effluent	4	2	1
В	Influent	0	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	4	2
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	7	5
	Final effluent	2	6	0
С	Influent	0	0	1
	Wetland transect 1	2	3	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	2	0
	Final effluent	7	0	0
D	Influent	1	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	1	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	0	4	2
	Final effluent	4	6	1

 Table 2. Results for Samples Collected in September 2009 and

 Processed by the Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Assay.

Wetland	Sample ID	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> parvum oocysts/L	Giardia duodenalis cysts/L	Microsporidian ( <i>Encephalitozoon bieneusi</i> ) spores/L
А	Influent	1	3	3
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	0	0
	Wetland transect 2	2	0	0
	Final effluent	0	0	1
В	Influent	4	0	1
	Wetland transect 1	1	0	4
	Wetland transect 2	1		5
	Final effluent	3	0	0
С	Influent	0	0	0
	Wetland transect 1	2	3	0
	Wetland transect 2	0	0	0
	Final effluent	2	2	0
D	Influent	1	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	1	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	3	4	2
	Final effluent	4	6	1

Table 3. Results for Samples Collected in October 2009 and Processed by the Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Assay.

Wetland	Sample ID	Cryptosporidium parvum oocysts/L	Giardia duodenalis cysts/L	Microsporidian ( <i>Encephalitozoon bieneusi</i> ) spores/L
А	Influent	7	4	7
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	2	0	2
	Final effluent	0	0	0
В	Influent	0	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	2	2
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	5	3
	Final effluent	0	6	0
С	Influent	0	0	1
	Wetland transect 1	2	3	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	2	2
	Final effluent	3	0	0
D	Influent	1	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	1	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	0	2	2
	Final effluent	3	4	1

# Table 4. Results for Samples Collected in November 2009 and Processed by the Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Assay.

Wetland	Sample ID	Cryptosporidium parvum oocysts/L	Giardia duodenalis cysts/L	Microsporidian ( <i>Encephalitozoon</i> <i>bieneusi</i> ) spores/L
А	Influent	7	6	2
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	0	5
	Wetland			
	transect 2	2	0	2
	Final effluent	1	0	0
В	Influent	0	0	3
	Wetland			
	transect 1	3	4	2
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	5	2
	Final effluent	1	6	0
С	Influent	0	0	1
	Wetland			
	transect 1	2	3	0
	Wetland			
	transect 2	1	2	0
	Final effluent	3	0	0
D	Influent	1	1	0
	Wetland			
	transect 1	0	1	3
	Wetland			
	transect 2	0	4	1
	Final effluent	4	3	1

 Table 5. Results for Samples Collected in December 2009 and

 Processed by the Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Assay.

Overall, three species of human protozoan enteropathogens were detected, i.e., *C. parvum, G. duodenalis, and E. bieneusi*. Most pathogens detected by the FISH assays were viable; a fraction of non-viable cells represented less than 2%. Viable *G. duodenalsi* cysts vs. nonviable cysts were clearly differentiated by color as a result of FISH and mAb labeling. Nonviable cysts were represented by: a) shells with apparently structurally damaged walls; and b) intact cells with a very small amount of internal structures with diffused appearance. In comparison, potentially viable intact cysts were filled out completely with cytoplasm without the gap between the internal structures and the wall. *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts labeled by FISH and mAb were predominantly intact, revealed a small gap between the oocyst wall and internal structures, and in most of them the sporozoites were visible. In comparison, dead oocysts, i.e., oocyst shells, frequently had discernable damage to their walls.

Analysis of samples collected in August demonstrated that in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens found in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 6 of 12 (50%) samples sets the number of pathogens the final effluent was higher than in the influent.

Analysis of samples collected in September demonstrated that in 3 of 12 (25%) sample sets, the number of pathogens identified in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 7 of 12 (58%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was higher than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in October demonstrated that in 6 of 12 (50%) sample sets, the number of pathogen in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogen in the final effluent was higher than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in November demonstrated that in 6 of 12 (50%) sample sets, the number of pathogens identified in the final effluent was lower than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in November demonstrated that in 6 of 12 (50%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was higher than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in December demonstrated that in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was lower than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in December demonstrated that in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was lower than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in December demonstrated that in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens identified in the final effluent was lower than in the influent. Analysis of samples collected in December demonstrated that in 5 of 12 (42%) sample sets, the number of pathogens identified in the final effluent was lower than in the influent; and in 6 of 12 (50%) sample sets, the number of pathogens in the final effluent was higher than in the influent.

Overall, 42% of sample sets showed decrease of pathogens in the final effluent; 48% of sample sets showed increase of pathogens in the final effluent; and 10% of sample set showed even number of pathogens in influent as comared to the final effluent.

# 4.0 Conclusions

The project demonstrated that: a) composition of human pathogen species in secondary treated wastewater entering constructed wetlands and in tertiary treated wastewater is highly complex and dynamic; b) small-scale constructed wetlands may not provide sufficient remediation for human zoonotic protozoan pathogens; and c) most of the pathogens discharged by wetlands to surface waters were viable thus potentially capable of causing human infections.

Presence of pathogens at higher concentrations in wetland-polished wastewater than in influents may be explained by the fact that these pathogens were: a) propagated in the wetlands by residing wildlife; b) contributed to the wetland water by visiting wildlife; or c) originated from other sources, e.g., surface runoff from wetland banks utilized by rodents as habitats. Aquatic birds and mammalian wildlife that inhabit wetlands can disseminate human-virulent species of *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, and microsporidia, i.e., *E. hellem* and *E. bieneusi* (Graczyk et al., 2007; Graczyk et al., 2008; Slodkowicz-Kowalska et al., 2007; Sulaiman et al., 2003). It has been estimated that a single visitation of an average size waterfowl flock can introduce into surface water reservoirs approximately: a) 9.3 x  $10^6$  *C. parvum* oocysts; b) 1.1 x  $10^7$  *G. duodenalis* cysts; and c) 9.1 x  $10^8$  *E. hellem* spores (Graczyk et al., 2008)

Wildlife that inhabits or visit constructed wetlands has previously been demonstrated to significantly contribute fecal coliforms (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*) to wetlands (Thurston et al., 2001). It has been suggested that wildlife plays an important role in the elevation of total and fecal coliform levels in wetland effluents due to their fecal deposition (Thurston et al., 2001), and the spontaneous multiplication of wildlife-derived coliforms in wetlands during summer months (Geldreich, 1996). The pathogens identified in the present study cannot multiply in the environment without their hosts.

There are several possibilities why the levels of Cryptosporidium oocysts, Giardia cysts and microsporidian spores in the wetland outfalls were higher than in the influents. All wetlands operated without implemented means to prevent animal access. Vegetation density in constructed wetlands has been shown not to influence the removal rates of Cryptosporidium oocysts and Giardia cysts (Nokes et al., 2003.). However, robust vegetation (i.e., P. australis) and tall trees around the wetland reduced exposure to sunlight, and prevented heating and full exposure to UV light. In all wetlands, precipitation potentially caused: a) inflow of runoff water to the wetland from wetland banks inhabited by rodents; and b) surface runoff from other sources. Potential malfunctioning caused by clogged inlet pipe(s) could cause temporal hydraulic short circuits that bypass part of the wetland filtration area consequently resulting in reduction or collapse of removal performances (Quinonez-Diaz et al., 2005). Concentration of human pathogens in wetland samples may also show diurnal fluctuation. Irrespective of the causative mechanism, we conclude that small-scale constructed wetlands may not provide sufficient remediation for human enteropathogens present in primary or secondary-treated wastewater, although such systems are excellent in absorbing, removal, and storage of nitrogen and phosphorus from the wastewater (Kadlec, 2005; Zhang et al., 2008).

The minimal levels of non-viable pathogens in the present study indicate that the pathogen walls become permeable to compounds and microorganisms present in large quantities in wastewater and they undergo fast biodegradation. Such a phenomenon was observed previously for human-pathogenic microorganisms in wastewater matrices (Graczyk et al., 2007; Graczyk et al., 2008). Loss of pathogen viability in constructed wetland was attributed to the lytic action of bacteria and bacteriophages, oxidation reactions, adsorption, and exposure to plant and microbial toxins (Thurston et al., 2001).

Because *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, and microsporidia can infect a variety of non-human hosts, identification of human-pathogenic species represents a challenge. Another challenge is determination of viability of these pathogens as they may be non-viable and thus, not of epidemiological importance. Both challenges are addressed by the fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) technique used in the present study. FISH employs fluorescently labeled oligonucleotide probes targeted to species-specific sequences of 18S rRNA, and therefore identification of pathogens is species-specific (Graczyk et al., 2007). As rRNA has a short half-life and is only present in numerous copies in viable organisms, FISH allows for differentiation between viable and non-viable pathogens (Dorsch et al., 2000; Vesey et al., 1998).

# References

Dai, X. and J. Boll. 2006. Settling velocity of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia lamblia*. Water Res. 40: 1321-1325.

Davidson, L., T. Headley, and K. Pratt. 2005. Secondary treatment by reed-bed - eight year experience in northeastern New South Wales. Water Sci. Technol. 51:129-138.

Dorsch, M.R. and D.A. Veal. 2001. Oligonucleotide probes for specific detection of *Giardia lamblia* cysts by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. J. Appl. Microbiol. 90: 836-842.

Geldreich, E.E. 1996. Microbial quality of water supply in distribution systems. Lewis Publishers, New York.

Gerba, C.P., J.A. Thurston, J.A. Falabi, P.M. Watt, and M.M. Karpiscak. 1999. Optimization of artificial wetland design for the removal of indicator microorganisms and pathogenic protozoa. Water. Sci. Technol. 40: 363-368.

Graczyk, T.K. and F.E. Lucy. 2007. Quality of reclaimed waters; a public health need for source-tracking of wastewater-derived protozoan enteropathogens in engineered wetlands. Trans. Royal Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 101: 532-533.

Graczyk, T.K., R. Fayer, and M.R. Cranfield. 1997. Zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium parvum*: implications for waterborne cryptosporidiosis. Parasitol. Today 13: 348-351.

Graczyk, T.K., D. Sunderland, A.M. Rule, A.J. daSilva, I.N. S. Moura, L. Tamang, A.S. Girouard, K.J. Schwab, and P.N. Breysse. 2007. Urban feral pigeons (*Columba livia*) as a source for air-and-waterborne contamination with *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* spores. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 4357-4358.

Graczyk, T.K., F.E. Lucy, L. Tamang, and A. Miraflor. 2007. Human enteropathogen load in activated sewage sludge and corresponding sewage sludge-end products. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 2013-2015.

Graczyk, T.K., M. Kacprzak, E. Neczaj, L. Tamang, H. Graczyk, F.E. Lucy, and A.S. Girouard. 2007. Human-virulent microsporidian spores in solid waste landfill leachate and sewage sludge samples and comparative analysis of effects of various sanitization treatments on their inactivation. Parasitol. Res. 101: 569-575.

Graczyk, T.K., A.C. Majewska, and K.J. Schwab. 2008. The role of aquatic birds in dissemination of human waterborne enteropathogens. Trends Parasitol. 24: 55-59.

Graczyk, T.K., M. Kacprzak, E. Neczaj, L. Tamang, H. Graczyk, F.E. Lucy, and A.S. Girouard. 2008. Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in sewage sludge and solid waste landfill leachate and quantitative comparative analysis of sanitization treatments on pathogen inactivation. Environ. Res. 106: 27-33.

Hester, F.D., H.D.A. Linquist, A.M. Bobst, and F.W. Schaffer. 2000. Fluorescent in situ

detection of *Encephalitozoon hellem* spores with a 6-carboxyfluorescein-labeled ribosomal RNAtargeted oligonucleotide probe. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 47: 299-308.

Kadlec, R.H. 2005. Phosphorus removal in emergent free surface wetlands. J. Environ. Sci. Hlth. A. Tox. Hazard Subst. Environ. Eng. 40: 1293-1306.

Kahler, A.M., and J.A. Thurston-Enriquez. 2007. Human pathogenic microsporidia detection in agricultural samples: method development and assessment. Parasitol. Res. 100: 529-538.

Karim, M.R., F.D. Manshadi, M.M. Karpiscak, and C.P. Gerba. 2004. The persistence and removal of enteric pathogens in constructed wetlands. Water Res. 38: 1831-1837.

Karpiscak, M.M., L.R. Sanchez, R.J. Freitas, and C.P. Gerba. 2001. Removal of bacterial indicators and pathogens from dairy wastewater by a multi-component treatment system. Water Sci. Technol. 44:183-190.

Matchis, A., R. Weber, and P. Deplazes. 2005. Zoonotic potential of microsporidia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18: 423-445.

Nokes, R.L., C.P. Gerba, and M.M. Karpiscak. 2003. Microbial water quality improvement by small scale on-site subsurface wetland treatment. J. Environ. Sci. Health A. Tox. Hazard Subst. Environ. Eng. 38: 1849-1855.

Nwachcuku, N. and C.P. Gerba. 2004. Emerging waterborne pathogens: can we kill them all? Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 15: 175-180.

Quinonez-Diaz, M.J., M.M. Karpiscak, E.D. Ellman, and C.P. Gerba. 2001. Removal of pathogenic and indicator microorganisms by a constructed wetland receiving untreated domestic wastewater. J. Environ. Sci. Health A. Tox. Hazard Subst. Environ. Eng. 36: 1311-1320.

Reinoso, R., L.A. Torres, and E. Becares. 2008. Efficiency of natural systems for removal of bacteria and pathogenic parasites from wastewater. Sci. Total Environ. 395: 80-86.

Rimhanen-Finne, R., A. Vourinen, S. Marmo, S. Malmberg, and M.L. Hanninen. 2004. Comparative analysis of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* and indicator bacteria during sewage sludge hygienization in various composting processes. Ltrs. Appl. Microbiol. 38: 301-305.

Savioli, L., H. Smith, and A. Thompson. 2006. *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* join the "Neglected Disease Initiative". Trends Parasitol. 22: 203-208.

Slodkowicz-Kowalska, A., T.K. Graczyk, L. Tamang, A.S. Girouard, and A. Majewska. 2007. Asymptomatic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* microsporidiosis in captive mammals. Parasitol. Res. 100: 505-509.

Stott, R., E. May, E. Matsushita, and A. Warren. 2001. Protozoan predation as a mechanism for the removal of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts from wastewaters in constructed wetlands. Water Sci. Technol. 44: 191-198.

Sulaiman, I.M., R. Fayer, A.A. Lal, J.M. Trout, F.W. Schaefer 3rd, and L. Xiao. 2003. Molecular characterization of microsporidia indicates that wild mammals Harbor host-adapted *Enterocytozoon* spp. as well as human-pathogenic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69: 4495-501.

Thurston, J.A., C.P. Gerba, K.E. Foster, and M.M. Karpiscak. 2001. Fate of indicator microorganisms, *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Water Res. 35 (6), 1547-1551.

Ulrich, H., D. Klaus, F. Irmgard, H. Annette, L. P. Juan, and S. Regine. 2005. Microbiological investigations for sanitary assessment of wastewater treated in constructed wetlands. Water Res. 39: 4849-4858.

Vesey, G., N. Ashbolt, E.J. Fricker, D. Deere, K. L. William, D.A. Veal, and M. Dorsch. 1998. The use of a ribosomal RNA targeted oligonucleotide probe for fluorescent labeling of viable *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts. J. Appl. Microbiol. 85: 429–440.

Weaver, R.W., M.C. Stecher, and K.J. McInnes. 2003. Water flow patterns in subsurface flow constructed wetlands designed for on-site domestic wastewater treatment. Environ. Technol. 24: 77-86.

Weber, R., and R.T. Bryan. 1994. Microsporidial infections in immunodeficient and immunocompetent patients. Clin. Inf. Dis. 19: 517-521.

Wolfe, M. S. 1992. Giardiasis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 5: 93–100.

Zhang, Z., Z. Rengel, and K. Meney. 2008. Interactive effects of nitrogen and phosphorus loadings on nutrient removal from simulated wastewater using *Schoenoplectus validus* in wetland microcosm. Chemosphere 72: 1823-182.

# WASTEWATER UTILITY

#### Alabama

Montgomery Water Works & Sanitary Sewer Board

## Alaska

Anchorage Water & Wastewater Utility

# Arizona

Avondale, City of Glendale, City of, Utilities Department Mesa, City of Peoria, City of Phoenix Water Services Dept. Pima County Wastewater Management Safford, City of Tempe, City of

#### Arkansas

Little Rock Wastewater Utility

# Califomia

Central Contra Costa Sanitary District Corona, City of **Crestline Sanitation District** Delta Diablo Sanitation District **Dublin San Ramon Services** District East Bay Dischargers Authority East Bay Municipal Utility District El Dorado Irrigation District Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District Fresno Department of Public Utilities Inland Empire Utilities Agency Irvine Ranch Water District Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Livermore, City of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles County, Sanitation Districts of Napa Sanitation District Novato Sanitary District **Orange County Sanitation** District Palo Alto, City of Riverside, City of Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department, City of San Francisco, City & County of San Jose, City of Santa Barbara, City of Santa Cruz, City of Santa Rosa, City of South Bayside System Authority South Coast Water District

South Orange County Wastewater Authority South Tahoe Public Utility District Stege Sanitary District Sunnyvale, City of Union Sanitary District West Valley Sanitation District

# Colorado

Aurora, City of Boulder, City of Greeley, City of Littleton/Englewood Water Pollution Control Plant Metro Wastewater

Reclamation District, Denver Connecticut

# Greater New Haven WPCA

Stamford, City of

#### **District of Columbia** District of Columbia Water &

# Sewer Authority

Florida

## Broward, County of Fort Lauderdale, City of Jacksonville Electric Authority (JEA) Miami-Dade Water & Sewer Authority **Orange County Utilities** Department Pinellas, County of Reedy Creek Improvement District Seminole County Environmental Services St. Petersburg, City of Tallahassee, City of Toho Water Authority West Palm Beach, City of

#### Georgia

Atlanta Department of Watershed Management Augusta, City of Clayton County Water Authority Cobb County Water System Columbus Water Works Fulton County **Gwinnett County Department** of Public Utilities Savannah, City of Hawaii Honolulu, City & County of

#### Idaho Boise, City of

# Illinois

Decatur, Sanitary District of Greater Peoria Sanitary District Kankakee River Metropolitan Agency Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

# Wheaton Sanitary District

Cedar Rapids Wastewater Facility Des Moines, City of lowa City

Ames, City of

Jeffersonville, City of

#### Kansas

Indiana

lowa

Johnson County Wastewater Unified Government of Wyandotte County/ Kansas City, City of

## Kentucky

Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District Sanitation District No. 1

# Louisiana Sewerage & Water Board

of New Orleans Maine

Bangor, City of Portland Water District

#### Maryland

Anne Arundel County Bureau of Utility Operations Howard County Bureau of Utilities Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission

# Massachusetts

Boston Water & Sewer Commission

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) Upper Blackstone Water Pollution Abatement District

# Michigan

Ann Arbor, City of Detroit, City of Holland Board of Public Works Saginaw, City of Wayne County Department of Environment Wyoming, City of

## Minnesota

Rochester, City of Western Lake Superior Sanitary District

#### Missouri

Independence, City of Kansas City Missouri Water Services Department Little Blue Valley Sewer District Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District

#### Nebraska

Lincoln Wastewater & Solid Waste System

#### Nevada

Henderson, City of Las Vegas, City of Reno, City of

#### **New Jersey**

Bergen County Utilities Authority Ocean County Utilities Authority

**New York** New York City Department of **Environmental Protection** 

#### North Carolina

Charlotte/Mecklenburg Utilities Durham, City of Metropolitan Sewerage District of Buncombe County Orange Water & Sewer Authority University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

#### Ohio

- Akron, City of Butler County Department of **Environmental Services** Columbus, City of
- Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati

Montgomery, County of Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District

Summit, County of

# Oklahoma

Oklahoma City Water & Wastewater Utility Department Tulsa, City of

#### Oregon

Albany, City of **Clean Water Services** Eugene, City of Gresham, City of Portland, City of Bureau of Environmental Services Lake Oswego, City of Oak Lodge Sanitary District

Water Environment Services

# Pennsylvania

Hemlock Municipal Sewer Cooperative (HMSC) Philadelphia, City of University Area Joint Authority

#### South Carolina

Charleston Water System Mount Pleasant Waterworks & Sewer Commission Spartanburg Water

#### Tennessee

**Cleveland Utilities** Murfreesboro Water & Sewer Department

Nashville Metro Water Services

#### Texas

Austin, City of **Dallas Water Utilities** Denton, City of El Paso Water Utilities

# WERF SUBSCRIBERS

Fort Worth, City of Houston, City of San Antonio Water System Trinity River Authority

# Iltah

Salt Lake City Corporation Virginia Alexandria Sanitation Authority Arlington, County of Fairfax, County of Hampton Roads Sanitation District Hanover, County of Henrico, County of Hopewell Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility Loudoun Water Lynchburg Regional

Wastewater Treatment Plant Prince William County Service Authority Richmond, City of

Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority

# Washington

Everett, City of King County Department of Natural Resources Seattle Public Utilities Sunnyside, Port of Yakima, City of

#### Wisconsin

Green Bay Metro Sewerage District Kenosha Water Utility Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District Racine, City of Sheboygan Regional Wastewater Treatment

Wausau Water Works

# Water Services Association of Australia

**ACTEW** Corporation Barwon Water Central Highlands Water City West Water Coliban Water Corporation Cradle Mountain Water **Gippsland Water** Gladstone Area Water Board Gold Coast Water Gosford City Council Hunter Water Corporation Logan Water Melbourne Water Moreton Bay Water Onstream Power & Water Corporation Queensland Urban Utilities SEQ Water South Australia Water Corporation

Sunshine Coast Water Sydney Catchment Authority Sydney Water Unity Water Wannon Regional Water Corporation Watercare Services Limited (NZ) Water Corporation Western Water Yarra Valley Water Canada

Edmonton, City of/Edmonton Waste Management Centre of Excellence Lethbridge, City of Regina, City of, Saskatchewan Toronto, City of, Ontario Winnipeg, City of, Manitoba

# STORMWATER UTILITY

#### California

Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District Los Angeles, City of, Department of Public Works Monterey, City of San Francisco, City & County of Santa Rosa, City of Sunnyvale, City of Colorado Aurora, City of

Boulder, City of Florida

Orlando, City of lowa Cedar Rapids Wastewater Facility

Des Moines, City of

Kansas Lenexa, City of Overland Park, City of Kentucky Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District Maine

Portland Water District North Carolina

Charlotte, City of, Stormwater Services

Pennsvlvania Philadelphia, City of

Tennessee Chattanooga Stormwater Management

Texas Harris County Flood Control

District, Texas Washinaton

**Bellevue Utilities Department** Seattle Public Utilities

#### STATE

Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection Kansas Department of Health & Environment New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission (NEIWPCC) Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission Urban Drainage & Flood Control District, CO

# CORPORATE

ADS LLC Advanced Data Mining International AECOM Alan Plummer & Associates Alpine Technology Inc. Aqua-Aerobic Systems Inc. Aquateam–Norwegian Water Technology Centre A/S ARCADIS Associated Engineering Bernardin Lochmueller & Associates Black & Veatch Blue Water Technologies, Inc. Brown & Caldwell Burgess & Niple, Ltd. Burns & McDonnell CABE Associates Inc. The Cadmus Group Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. Carollo Engineers Inc. Carpenter Environmental Associates Inc. **CET Engineering Services** CH2M HIII CRA Infrastructure & Engineering CONTECH Stormwater Solutions D&B/Guarino Engineers, LLC Damon S. Williams Associates, LLC Ecovation EMA Inc. Environmental Operating Solutions, Inc. Environ International Corporation Fay, Spofford, & Thorndike Inc. Freese & Nichols, Inc. ftn Associates Inc. Gannett Fleming Inc. Garden & Associates, Ltd. Geosyntec Consultants GHD Inc. **Global Water Associates** Greeley and Hansen LLC Hazen & Sawyer, P.C.

HDR Engineering Inc.

**HNTB** Corporation

Hydromantis Inc.

HydroQual Inc.

Jason Consultants LLC Inc. Jordan, Jones, & Goulding Inc. KCI Technologies Inc. Kelly & Weaver, P.C. Kennedy/Jenks Consultants Larry Walker Associates LimnoTech Inc. Lombardo Associates, Inc. The Low Impact Development Center Inc. Malcolm Pirnie Inc. Material Matters, Inc. McKim & Creed MWH NTL Alaska, Inc. O'Brien & Gere Engineers Inc. Odor & Corrosion Technology Consultants Inc. Parametrix Inc. Parsons Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan Praxair, Inc. RMC Water & Environment Ross & Associates Ltd. SAIC Siemens Water Technologies The Soap & Detergent Association Smith & Loveless, Inc. Southeast Environmental Engineering, LLC Stone Environmental Inc. Stratus Consulting Inc. Synagro Technologies Inc. Tetra Tech Inc. Trojan Technologies Inc. Trussell Technologies, Inc. **URS** Corporation Wallingford Software Westin Engineering Inc. Wright Water Engineers Zoeller Pump Company

Infilco Degremont Inc.

### INDUSTRY

American Electric Power American Water Anglian Water Services, Ltd. Chevron Energy Technology The Coca-Cola Company Dow Chemical Company **DuPont Company** Eastman Chemical Company Eli Lilly & Company InsinkErator Johnson & Johnson Merck & Company Inc. Procter & Gamble Company Suez Environnment United Utilities North West (UUNW) United Water Services LLC Veolia Water North America

# WERF Board of Directors

# Chair

Alan H. Vicory, Jr., P.E., BCEE Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Co

Vice-Chair William P. Dee, P.E., BCEE Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

Secretary William J. Bertera Water Environment Federation

# Treasurer

Jeff Taylor Freese and Nichols, Inc. Patricia J. Anderson, P.E. Florida Department of Health

Jeanette A. Brown, P.E., BCEE, D.WRE Stamford Water Pollution Control Authority

Catherine R. Gerali Metro Wastewater Reclamation District

Charles N. Haas, Ph.D., BCEEM Drexel University

Stephen R. Maquin Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Karen L. Pallansch, P.E., BCEE Alexandria Sanitation Authority

Robert A. Reich, P.E. DuPont Company

R. Rhodes Trussell, Ph.D., P.E. Trussell Technologies Inc.

Rebecca F. West Spartanburg Water

Brian L. Wheeler Toho Water Authority

Joseph E. Zuback Global Water Advisors, Inc.

# WERF Research Council

# Chair

Karen L. Pallansch, P.E., BCEE Alexandria Sanitation Authority

# Vice-Chair

John B. Barber, Ph.D. Eastman Chemical Company William J. Cooper, Ph.D. University of California-Irvine

Ann Farrell, P.E. Central Contra Costa Sanitary District (CCCSD)

Robbin W. Finch Boise, City of

Thomas Granato, Ph.D. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago James A. Hanlon U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

James A. Hodges, CPEng. Watercare Services Limited

David Jenkins, Ph.D. University of California at Berkeley

Lloyd W. Johnson, M.P.D., P.E. Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc. Terry L. Johnson, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE Black & Veatch Corporation

Beverley M. Stinson, Ph.D. AECOM

Susan J. Sullivan New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission (NEIWPCC)

**Executive Director** Glenn Reinhardt

# WERF Product Order Form

As a benefit of joining the Water Environment Research Foundation, subscribers are entitled to receive one complimentary copy of all final reports and other products. Additional copies are available at cost (usually \$10). To order your complimentary copy of a report, please write "free" in the unit price column. WERF keeps track of all orders. If the charge differs from what is shown here, we will call to confirm the total before processing.

Name			Title			
Organization						
Address						
City		State	Zip Code	Country		
Phone		Fax		Email		
Stock #		Product		Quantity	Unit Price	Total
Method of Paymen	t: (All orders	must be prepaid.)			Postage & Handling	
C heck or Money Or	der Enclosed			VA Residents Add 5% Sales Tax		
-		merican Express			dian Residents Add 7% GST	
Account No.	Account No. Exp. Date				TOTAL	
Signature						
Shipping & Handli	ng:			To Ord	er (Subscriber	s Only):
Amount of Order	United States	Canada & Mexico	All Others		on to www. werf.c Publications."	org and click
Up to but not more than:	Add:	Add:	Add:			
\$20.00	\$7.50*	\$9.50	50% of amount	Phone: 571-384-2100 Fa x : 703-299-0742		)
30.00 40.00	8.00 8.50	9.50 9.50	40% of amount			
50.00	9.00	18.00		WER	⊢ Subscriber Servi	ices
60.00 10.00		18.00		635 Slaters Lane		1000
80.00	11.00	18.00		Alexandria, VA 22314-1177		-1177
100.00	13.00	24.00				
150.00	15.00	35.00		To Orde	er (Non-Subsc	ribers):
200.00	18.00	40.00				
More than \$200.00	Add 20% of order	Add 20% of order			ribers may orden is either through	
* m i n i mum amount fo	r all orders			or IWAP (v	ww.iwapublishi F's website at w	ng.com).

for details.

Make checks payable to the Water Environment Research Foundation.



Water Environment Research Foundation 635 Slaters Lane, Suite G-110 ■ Alexandria, VA 22314-1177 Phone: 571-384-2100 ■ Fax: 703-299-0742 ■ Email: werf@werf.org www.werf.org WERF Stock No. DEC11U06

Co-published by

IWA Publishing Alliance House, 12 Caxton Street London SW1H 0QS United Kingdom Phone: +44 (0)20 7654 5500 Fax: +44 (0)20 7654 5555 Email: publications@iwap.co.uk Web: www.iwapublishing.co IWAP ISBN: 978-1-84339-531-7/1-84339-531-2

